Michigan Educational Assessment Program Integrated English Language Arts Assessment Grades 7 and 11 Part 2B Rubric Writing in Response to Reading

- The student effectively synthesizes and applies key ideas, generalizations, and principles from within each reading selection to support a position in response to the scenario question and makes a clear connection between the reading selections. The position and connection are thoroughly developed through the use of appropriate examples and details. There are no misconceptions about the reading selections. There are strong relationships among ideas. Mastery of language use and writing conventions contributes to the effect of the response.
- The student makes meaningful use of key ideas from within each reading selection to support a position in response to the scenario question and makes a clear connection between the reading selections. The position and connection are well developed through the use of appropriate examples and details. Minor misconceptions may be present. Relationships among ideas are clear to the reader. The language is controlled, and occasional lapses in writing conventions are hardly noticeable.
- 4 The student makes adequate use of ideas from within each reading selection to support a position in response to the scenario question and makes a connection between the reading selections. The position and connection are supported by examples and details. Minor misconceptions may be present. Language use is correct. Lapses in writing conventions are not distracting.
- 3 The student makes adequate use of ideas from one reading selection **OR** makes partially successful use of ideas from both reading selections to support a position in response to the scenario question. The position is developed with limited use of examples and details. Misconceptions may indicate only a partial understanding of the reading selections. Language use is correct but limited. Incomplete mastery over writing conventions may interfere with meaning some of the time.
- The student makes partially successful use of ideas from one reading selection **OR** minimal use of ideas from both reading selections to support a position in response to the scenario question. The position is underdeveloped. Major misconceptions may indicate minimal understanding of the reading selections. Limited mastery over writing conventions may make the writing difficult to understand.
- The student does not take a position on the scenario question but makes at least minimal use of ideas from one or both of the reading selections to respond to the scenario question or theme **OR** minimally uses ideas from only one of the reading selections to support a position in response to the scenario question. Ideas are not developed and may be unclear. Major misconceptions may indicate a lack of understanding of the reading selections. Lack of mastery over writing conventions may make the writing difficult to understand.

Not ratable if:

- A Retells or references the reading selections with no connection to the scenario question or theme
- B Off topic
- C Illegible/written in a language other than English
- D Blank/refused to respond
- E Responds to the scenario question with no reference to either of the reading selections